UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/546,629	10/05/2005	Thomas Buck	8310-5/05.1050.4	8420
	7590 12/08/2008 MHARDT, MORIARTY, MCNETT & HENRY LLP		EXAMINER	
111 MONUMENT CIRCLE, SUITE 3700 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-5137			FERNANDEZ, KATHERINE L	
INDIANAPOL	LIS, IN 46204-5137		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/546,629	BUCK, THOMAS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KATHERINE L. FERNANDEZ	3768	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 I      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 43-64 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrage 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 43-64 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or comparison.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration. or election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2005 is/are  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 3768

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 43-60 and 62-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite as they lack active, positively recited steps describing what is being practiced.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 43-60 and 62-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. In addition to inquiry of whether a claimed method fails within a judicial exception, Supreme Court precedent (Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876).) and recent Federal Court decisions, require that a claim drawn to a process must (1) be tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing. If neither of these requirements is met by the claim, the method is not a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. 101 and is improperly directed to nonstatutory subject matter. To qualify as a 101 statutory process, the claim should (1) positively recite the

Art Unit: 3768

other statutory class (the thing or product) to which it is tied, for example by identifying the apparatus that accomplishes the method steps or (2) positively recite the subject matter that is being transformed. The limitations (evaluation of the backscatter of a measurement beam, evaluation of the measurement beams for determination of at least one of the opening surface area, the volumetric flow rate, the flow volume, and any value proportional thereto) are non-statutory because they are not tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus), nor do they positively recite subject matter being transformed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 61-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nudell et al. (EP 0 421 465 A2) as cited by applicant.

With regards to claim 61, Nudell et al. disclose a device for ultrasound measurement of at least one opening surface area of a dynamic or irregular orifice through which a fluid flows, in particular blood, of the volumetric flow rate and of the flow volume through the orifice, with means for evaluation of the power spectrum of Doppler signals of a measurement beam having a spatial measurement area and of a reference beam having a spatial measurement area, wherein the spatial measurement area of the reference beam lies within the spatial measurement area of the measurement beam

Art Unit: 3768

(pg. 5, lines 1-28; pg. 3, lines 2-8; see Figure 2); wherein the device has a matrix array transducer for generating a transmit beam and for detecting the measurement beam and reference beam, and is adapted such that several measurement beams with offset spatial, partially overlapping measurement areas covering the orifice completely and at least one of one measurement beam and of several reference beams with offset spatial measurement areas can be detected and evaluated for determination at least one of the opening surface area, the volumetric flow rate, the flow volume and any value dependent thereon (pg. 6, lines 23-53, pg. 7, lines 22-32; pg.12, lines 29-41; see Figure 4A-C, 5A and 6A-C).

With regards to claim 62, Nudell et al. disclose a method for ultrasound measurement of the opening surface area of a dynamic or irregular orifice through which a fluid flows, in particular blood, and/or of the volumetric flow rate and/or flow volume through the orifice, with evaluation of the backscatter of a measurement beam having a spatial measurement area and of a reference beam having a spatial measurement area, wherein the spatial measurement area of the reference beam lies within the spatial measurement area of the measurement beam (pg. 5, lines 1-28; pg. 3, lines 2-8; see Figure 2; see abstract); wherein the measurement area of the measurement beam is moved three-dimensionally beforehand in a search mode, while Doppler signals are continuously detected and are evaluated in respect of the occurrence of a Doppler spectrum characteristic of a vena contracta, so that thereafter, for determination of at least one of the opening surface area, the volumetric flow rate, the flow volume and any value proportional thereto, the measurement area of the

Art Unit: 3768

reference beam is directed into the inside of the vena contracta of the fluid flow through the orifice and the measurement area of the measurement beam is directed into the area of the vena contracta of the fluid flow through the orifice (pg. 7, lines 11-32; see Figures 2 and 6A-C).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 63-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nudell et al. as applied to claim 62 above, and further in view of Buck (US Patent No. 6,544,181).

As discussed above, Nudell et al. meet the limitations of claim 62. However, they do not specifically disclose the limitations of instant claims 63-64. Buck et al. disclose methods and apparatus for utilizing an ultrasonic pulsed wave Doppler signal to measure the instantaneous area of a dynamic orifice through which blood is passing and/or to measure instantaneous flow rate and flow volume of blood passing through such a dynamic orifice, and identifying the region of flow which is substantially laminar. They disclose that the measurement area of the measurement beam is moved free-dimensionally beforehand in a search mode, while Doppler signals are continuously detected and evaluated in respect of the occurrence of a Doppler spectrum characteristics of a vena contracta, so that thereafter for the determination, the

Art Unit: 3768

measurement area of the reference beam is directed into the inside of the vena contracta of the fluid flow through the orifice and the measurement area of the measurement beam is directed into the area of the vena contract of the fluid flow through the orifice (column 8, line 49 through column 9, line 21). Further, they disclose that in order to detect a vena contracta, the Doppler signal (Velocity vs. Time) is detected and the bright higher frequency bands from the Doppler signal images correspond to laminar flow (column 8, lines 59 through column 9, line 21). The vena contracta where a laminar flow predominates is located where the narrowness and cleanness of the bright narrow-band spectrum is optimized (column 9, lines 1-21). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Nudell et al. to include the step of detecting a vena contracta when the Doppler spectrum shows at least a substantially continuous or constant line of maximal speed, as taught by Buck, as the measurement beam should be focused in the area where laminar flow occurs since the Doppler power principle holds only for laminar flow, and the flow is substantially laminar (i.e high velocity) at the vena contracta (column 2, lines 22-43; column 3, lines 5-12).

# Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 43-64 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 3768

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KATHERINE L. FERNANDEZ whose telephone number is (571)272-1957. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571)272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eric F Winakur/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3768